Organization

The (D)evolution of the Cyberwoman?

Barbara Czarniawska and Eva Gustavsson

The online version of this article can be found at:

Published by:

$SAGE

http://www.sagepublications.com

Additional services and information for *Organization* can be found at:

Email Alerts: http://org.sagepub.com/cgi/alerts

Subscriptions: http://org.sagepub.com/subscriptions

Reprints: http://www.sagepub.com/journalsReprints.nav

Permissions: http://www.sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav

Citations: http://org.sagepub.com/content/15/5/665.refs.html

>> Version of Record - Aug 18, 2008

What is This?

The (D)evolution of the Cyberwoman?

Barbara Czarniawska

GRI, Göteborg University, Sweden

Eva Gustavsson

GRI, Göteborg University, Sweden

Abstract. *In this paper, we examine Donna Haraway's idea of a liberating potential of cyborgization in the light of popular culture products related to organized work. We consider two different takes on this issue: women as technology and technology as women, and we start with the successive versions of Stepford Wives (the novel, the 1975 movie and the 2004 movie), and continue by tracing the evolution of the character of a cyberwoman, from William Gibson's Neuromancer (1984) and Idoru (1996), to Trinity from the Matrix trilogy. We show that cyborgization does not automatically denote liberalization, and suggest that the much greater popularity of the Matrix films compared to the intellectual projects of William Gibson means that stereotypes and strong plots survive, finding ever new forms of expression. We end the paper by pointing out the relevance of popular culture models for work in contemporary homes and other workplaces.*
Key words. *cyborgs; Haraway's manifesto; household technology; organized work; popular culture; science-fiction; women; workplace technology*

A cyborg is a cybernetic organism, a hybrid of machine and organism, a creature of social reality as well as a creature of fiction … . The cyborg is a matter of fiction and lived experience that changes what counts as women's experience in the late 20th century. This is a struggle over life and death, but the boundary between science fiction and social reality is an optical illusion. Contemporary science fiction is full of cyborgs—creatures

simultaneously animal and machine, who populate worlds ambiguously natural and crafted (Haraway, 1991: 150).

Curious about possible echoes of Haraway's manifesto, we turned to science fiction novels and films in our search for female cyborgs, although fully aware that their presence and presentation is complex and changes over time. These developments are important to understand as, in our opinion, popular culture re-presents and shapes the actual behaviour of people, not the least in workplaces (Czarniawska and Rhodes, 2006). Cyborgs, we found out, can be figures of liberation but also vehicles of tradition and stereotyping; or, in Haraway's words, 'a fiction mapping our social and bodily reality and ... an imaginative resource' (p. 151). Whereas Haraway fully perceived the horrific aspect of cyborgs (after all, they are 'the illegitimate offspring of militarism and patriarchal capitalism, not to mention state socialism'; p. 152), she did not predict their role as stabilizers of the old world order.

We are far from original in attempting to connect organization theory to popular culture in general, or to science fiction in particular. Martin Parker, Matthew Higgins, Geoff Lightfoot and Warren Smith edited a special issue of *Organization* (1999 vol. 6 no. 4) on 'Organization and Science Fiction'. They developed their interest even further in the anthology *Science Fiction and Organization* (2007). Also the topic of cyborgs has been introduced to organization studies, first in the context of a plea for proximal studies of organizing (Cooper and Law, 1995), then through the idea of 'cyborganization' (Parker, 1998; Parker and Cooper, 1998), and also of 'Cyber-Form' (Tirade et al., 1999). Martin Wood (1998) took up both Haraway's idea and its equivalents in science fiction, but eventually chose to focus on the duality distal-proximal, like Cooper and Law (1995) before him, and elaborated the notion of agency in a hybridized world. Our specific angle locates the notion of cyborg in a combination women-work-technology, as depicted by popular culture.

Our interest in popular culture led us swiftly to the realization that 'popular' is an adjective relative to time and space: there are differences between generations and between countries. To be on the safe side, we chose two examples of cyborgs undoubtedly popular through countries and generations: *Stepford Wives* and *Matrix*, and selected complementing examples from William Gibson's novels. We start in the early 1970s, because, as has been pointed out by the Mexican literary critic Naief Yehya (2001/2004), until the 1960s science fiction proffered defense against the threatening Other not only through recourse to arms, but also through a confirmation of the value of heterosexual romance and matrimony. It was not enough to eliminate the monstrous spiders, stop the deadly rays or kill the horrible aliens. The chaos was conquered only when the hero could embrace the woman he loved and promise her that everything will be alright from now on.

That model, continued Yehya, became obsolete in the 1960s, when women took the pill and went to work. The sexual revolution changed

life and fiction, creating many more options for women. Whereas there is no doubt about the multiplication of the options, we have doubts that these options were as many and as revolutionary as it might have seemed in the late 1960s.

We begin by illustrating our two concepts: 'woman as technology' and 'technology as women' with female cyborgs presented in popular culture. This is followed by an analysis where we pose the question whether these cyborgs did their job or not. Our inquiry shows that even though the introduction of such cyborgs puts into question the issue of humanity, it does not obviously question gender stereotypes. The possible reasons for such a state of affairs are discussed in the concluding part of this paper.

Women as (Household) Technology[1]

The cyborg is resolutely committed to partiality, irony, intimacy and perversity. It is oppositional, utopian and completely without innocence. No longer structured by the polarity of public and private, the cyborg defines a technological polarity based partly on a revolution of social relations in the oikos, the household (Haraway, 1991: 151).

Machine Dreams

In 1988 the independent German film director Peter Krieg made a film essay called *Machine Dreams*. Krieg's films are cinematic dissertations; he has also made one on money and one on chaos theory. *Machine Dreams* contained a thesis on the genesis and development of technology, a rich field material, and quotes from scientific literature delivered by the authors. By the end, it constructed a proper theory about machines—as dreams and nightmares of men.

Peter Krieg's theory of technology unfolded as follows: men constructed machines to escape their biological nature (of which women play a large part). This technical 'second nature' brought them more disappointment than relief as, said Krieg, projected dreams and nightmares are only apt to return. Not all is lost, however. The disappointment with second nature brought to the fore an unexpected gain: a better understanding of the human condition—including the role of women in the fate of humanity—something that could be called a third or 'reflexive' nature.

Within this general thesis or plot are several subplots, situated historically. One of the main drives behind the construction of machines was to relieve humans of heavy menial work; once successful, they moved to mechanizing of lighter menial work, like household work. Another drive was to protect humans from threat; and as men feel threatened by women, replacing women as sex objects with sex machines was the next step. If one develops this logic to its absurd consequence, housewives can be seen as a combination of household machines and sex objects. Ergo, *Stepford Wives.*

667

Stepford Wives, The Book

The topic of Ira Levin's book from 1972 is, in our reading, the rising feminism, or women's liberation, as it was then called. It begins with a quote from Simone de Beauvoir's *The Second Sex*:

> Today the combat takes a different shape; instead of wishing to put man in a prison, woman endeavors to escape from one; she no longer seeks to drag him into the realms of immanence but to emerge, herself, into the light of transcendence. Now the attitude of the males creates a new conflict: it is with a bad grace that the man lets her go.

There are two main points to the book, and Ira Levin proved to be right about both of them. One is that men will not lightly accept women's liberation—especially their liberation from household duties, including sexual services. They will fight back. The other point is that this liberation is inevitable. They would have to kill women—and/or turn them into robots—to stop it. Technology does not play an important part in the book; it is merely a prop necessary for the plot. The novel is almost Luddite in its suggestion that high-tech companies (among others) might be polluting the water with poisonous waste.

The Allen & Unwin homepage offers the following synopsis:

> Photographer Joanne Eberhart has just moved home to Stepford with her family, but for some reason she is having a lot of difficulty making new friends. As far as Joanne is concerned, there's something peculiar about the women of Stepford. They don't have time for a cup of coffee and certainly not for a chat: there's too much cleaning and housework to be done. And even though it's the swinging sixties, feminism seems to have passed every one of them by. As Joanne's isolation grows, she begins to think she and her friend Bobbie might be the only liberated women left in Stepford. But when Bobbie very suddenly turns into one of the Stepford Wives too, Joanne begins to fear that something sinister is at work—and that her own days of freedom might be numbered too. (ww.allenandunwin.com, 050326)

In the process of investigating the strange events at Stepford, Bobbie and Joanne discover that the docile housewives used to belong to a very powerful women's association, and that the strange events leading to the formation of the Men's Association began after Betty Friedan gave a talk at the women's association meeting.

Levin's book actually reverts to the plot of Karel Capek's play, *R.U.R. (Rossum's Universal Robots),* from 1920—the one that introduced the word *robot i*nto modern English. Capek's robots had two interesting traits. The first was that they were organic products, resulting from a simplification that an engineer by the name of Rossum (in English it would be Reasson) Jr introduced to his father's discovery of an alternative (to God's) way of producing life. The second is that they came in two sexes—a necessity for the plot's resolution, in which robots discover love, and thereby procreation.[2]

Levin followed young Rossum's reasoning: too much complication is a problem that must be resolved. His innovation consisted in relocating the

668

conflict: it was not between robots and people or between industrialists and workers, but between men and women. In Capek's play, the robots win; in Levin's book, the women lose, but both endings are absurd and were intended as such. The purpose was to force the spectators or the readers to reflect over issues that might prove disturbing.

The novel is very short, which probably prompted the subsequent film-makers to extend it, at the same time giving them enough space to do it the way they preferred.

Stepford Wives, *The Movie, 1975*

> Screenwriter William Goldman (*Butch Cassidy and the Sundance Kid*) and director Bryan Forbes (*King Rat*) made this a taut, tense semi-classic with a healthy dose of satiric wit (Tom Keogh, www.amazon.com, 050326).

There is no doubt about the satirical intent in portraying the USA as obsessed by commercials, expressed by commercials and modelled by commercials. It is the other two themes—technology and women—that are treated in a way that provokes doubts. The movie has a clear Luddite tone to it: anything of importance is made manually, including the murder of the 'real' women. Men dealing with technology (unlike other men who are merely using it) are sinister; the second the viewers meet the ex-Disney man, it is obvious that he is up to no good, but this exaggeration is not grotesque (as it will become in the next version of the movie). Computer and various lab companies have sinister, black-and-white signboards, unlike everything else in the movie, which is colorful.

As to the film's women: by the end of the movie, it is difficult to combat a feeling that they get what they deserve. They seem to be intellectually handicapped, or at least made so by their love of their spouses and children. Why should Charmaine, the tennis star, aware that her husband does not love her, decide all of a sudden to go for a love weekend with him? Why should Bobbie, aware that those love weekends do not bode well, agree to go on one with her husband?

These are perhaps not questions that should be asked at a science fiction movie. But within this genre, it is the main character—Joanna—who acts most surprisingly. First, the two Helper figures—her ex-boyfriend and the psychologist—are introduced into the plot for no apparent reason; they seem either unaware or unwilling to understand her plea for help. In Levin's book, there is no boyfriend, and the therapist is convinced that Joanna is a neurotic with no need for immediate help. Perhaps this is the director's twist—a breach of narrative rules to torment the viewer as the movie changes from science fiction into a gothic horror: long corridors, high vaults, heavy storms at night. As a result, the main character changes from a resourceful woman to a moron, walking in the pouring rain with her hair loose (given that it reaches her waist, wouldn't it be more practical to tuck it in or at least braid it?). She has guts enough to hit her husband

669

over the head with a golf club (and he has brains enough to lie to her, even as he lies in a puddle of blood), but then she walks onto the grounds of the mysterious Men's Association with her mouth permanently open. At the sight of the Disney man, the golf club simply slips out of her hands. Considering that the woman is clearly out of her wits, perhaps the ending is more benevolent than it might be at first glance.

Once this skeptical thought seeps into the mind of the viewer, the perception of the character of Joanne undergoes critical scrutiny. She is, supposedly, a photographer: that is, she has a heavy camera with a zoom lens with her, and a developing room at home. Alas, the galleries do not want her work, until one day she starts photographing children at play—what a nice feminine topic!—and there she succeeds, or seems to be on the verge of succeeding. Again, this is not faithful to Levin's book.

Is the moronization of the main character an unintended slip resulting from succumbing to the horror genre (the open mouth and the long hair), or is it the film's warning to women who might be robotizing themselves without realizing it? Hard to say. The movie has dated much more quickly than has Levin's book, but perhaps pictures date quicker than words. At any rate, Levin's point concerning women's liberation seems to be lost, or perhaps is overdramatized to the point of the grotesque, and is therefore unconvincing.

Stepford Wives, *The Movie, 2004*

The next generation of *Stepford Wives* (DVD 2004) is a movie made in a time when Levin's predictions have been fulfilled. Women's liberation has happened and technology has come to the fore in society, for both men and women. The points that Levin has made cannot be made again, and the reviewers point it out:

> An all-star cast remakes the 1975 socio-political horror flick, *The Stepford Wives.* After being fired as president of a television network, Joanna (Nicole Kidman) has a nervous breakdown, prompting her husband Walter (Matthew Broderick) to take her to a simple Connecticut town called Stepford to recuperate. But Stepford is a little strange: The husbands congregate at a closed-doors men's club, while the wives—all in bright summer frocks and air-brushed smiles—exercise to keep their hourglass figures and cook endless pastries. Joanna, along with new arrivals Bobbie (Bette Midler) and Roger (the very funny Roger Bart), soon discover that the mastermind of Stepford (Christopher Walken) has used cybernetics to 'perfect' womankind. (Bret Fetzer, www.amazon.com, 050326*)*

The new point the movie makes is more philosophical and time-universal: perfection is not attractive. The time difference from the original is honored by making the main perpetrator fascinated—nay, obsessed—with an old-fashioned image of perfection.

Stepford Wives 2004 has become much sharper, more distinct, and more politically correct than the 1975 movie. First of all, the satirical edge is

670

clear and well achieved through the level of acting. The opening sequences consist of many quotes from actual commercials of the 1960s, rather than merely alluding to them as the 1975 movie did. There is a funny, almost too-close-for-comfort scene concerning 'reality-TV', of the Temptation Island kind. Second, the motivation of the Stepford men becomes more convincing: in 1975 they were a bunch of weird technos with mean ideas, but in 2004 they are less successful husbands of successful wives. Third, the technology is complex and important and, at the genius end of it, there stands a woman. Fourth, the idea of a house-machine is made equal because a gay partner is also remade into such a model. Fifth, and most important, the 2004 version is close in its main thesis to Peter Krieg's. Technology is used to rid human life of imperfection ('biological nature'); but the key to happiness lies in accepting imperfections, not in getting rid of them. Altogether, it makes possible a happy ending that agrees well with Hollywood.

But the topic of 'women-as-technology' is an interesting one, although it did not attract much attention from the reviewers. This is an ancient topic, after all: if Eve was made of Adam's rib, women are like Dolly sheep, cloned from a bit of man, to serve him in life. It is a matter of accessible technology whether they are made of metal and springs, like a long series of antique docks shown in Peter Krieg's film; of plastic and computers, like Japanese robots in the same film; or are brain-engineered cyborgs, as in *Stepford Wives* 2004. One topic that neither of the two *Stepford Wives* versions dared to raise was that of female children: were they worth raising with care if they were to turn into kitchen-and-bedroom robots sooner or later? Levin, however, did ask this question in his book.

The 2004 version of *Stepford Wives*, with all its Hollywood simplifications, might still be aspiring to revive Capek's own conclusion: there are no easy solutions, as everybody is right in their own way:

> Be these people either Conservatives or Socialists, Yellows or Reds, the most important thing is—and that is the point I want to stress—that all of them are right in the plain and moral sense of the word … I ask whether it is not possible to see in the present social conflict of the world an analogous struggle between two, three, five equally serious verities and equally generous idealisms? I think it is possible, and that is the most dramatic element in modern civilization, that a human truth is opposed to another human truth no less human, ideal against ideal, positive worth against worth no less positive, instead of the struggle being as we are so often told, one between noble truth and vile selfish error.[3]

Thus whereas the picture of frightening women of success in the 2004 *Stepford Wives* can be seen as a postfeminist message (Modleski, 1991), in Capek's perspective it could merely be giving everyone a voice—women who 'can do it better' and men who feel henpecked—and showing that those who want perfection are dangerous, even if they 'want only everybody's good'. Capek's vision was truly of the postmodern world, with its clash of idealisms rather than disenchantment.

671

Actual Household Technology and Robotics

One dominant impression is that the novelist and the filmmakers did not know much about the household as a workplace. Thus it is perhaps not surprising that they reproduce various kind of stereotypical thinking about the organization of a household.

The 2004 movie opens with a commercial 1950s' style, in which the new machines are playing the main role. In this way, the movie establishes a historical background alluding to the rationalization of housework in a Tayloristic spirit. In Stepford, however, there is no need for the new machines because women are machines. At the same time, the traditional role of a housewife who can do anything is preserved.

The mechanization of the household held the same double message at the time of its introduction. As Berner (1996) pointed out, housewives in the rationalized society were supposed to acquire new technical competence, setting them on a par with their technically minded husbands. But were the machines intended to keep women at home, or were they supposed to free women to assume jobs in the public sphere?

Stepford husbands were clearly not afraid that their robotic wives would turn against them, like robots in *Terminator, Blade Runner* and *RoboCop*. The traditional assumption that people control the robots and not the other way around is not challenged. The robotic wives are fully directed towards productivity: their cleaning, baking, and cooking excel all Stakhanovite standards.[4] They are forever busy with their duties and have no time for anything else. A talk group initiated by Joanna in the 1975 movie provokes anxiety, as women are torn away from their kitchens. This idea is a clear allusion to the 18th century woman's ideal, where the woman 'was the organizer of the household, but not its master' (Berner, 1996: 122). This anachronism is absent from Levin's novel.

In the 2004 version, the 'real' women left the housework to men to be able to pursue their careers without a thought of family and home. The modern Joanna takes for granted the existence of a hi-tech 'smart home'. She takes also for granted that her husband knows how to use the controls. However, the woman of the 1950s and the 1960s has had an important position in the household: she might not have been a master, but she was irreplaceable. When Joanna loses her job, she has no power platform whatsoever, and is unable to answer her husband's accusation that it may be she who is defective. This is why Joanna decides to give the 'Stepford strategy' a try, but her unfamiliarity with the household technology turns it into her foe. The talking fridge reminds her of her shortcomings and the security system refuses to let her in.

In the 2004 version, it is a woman (played by Glenn Close) who is responsible for the robotization of the women. She thinks that everything was better before women left the household and their traditional roles. She used to be a talented researcher in the field of brain surgery and cybertechnology, and she applies her expertise to turn back the clock.

672

Intentionally or not, this is yet another way in which the movie shows that women's familiarity with technology is a serious threat to the patriarchs.

Stepford Wives, throughout its variations, took up several topics that do not cease to be tangible: the differences between public and private workplaces, the promises and traps of professional competition between men and women, and the dreams and nightmares of technologies, cyborgs included. Still, a contemporary public may see the 'household machine dreams' as a thing of the past, a historical curiosity. Not so with another part of machine dreams: cyborgs and androids as wives, lovers and work colleagues.

Technology as Women

> By the late 20th century, our time, a mythic time, we are all chimeras, theorized and fabricated hybrids of machine and organism; in short, we are cyborgs. Thus cyborg is our ontology; it gives us our politics ... The cyborg is a creature in a post-gender world; it has no truck with bisexuality, pre-oedipal symbiosis, unalienated labour, or other seductions to organic wholeness through a final appropriation of all the powers of the parts into a higher unity. (Haraway, 1991: 150)

In this part of the paper, we focus more on the development of cyborg-type *characters* in science fiction novels and films; the plot, with one exception, is less relevant for our analysis, and will be relegated to footnotes. Also, we follow Haraway's idea of a cyborg: a hybrid of machine and organism, with a symbolic meaning. This definition may not be the one preferred by the authors or directors. Philip K. Dick (1995: 211) for instance went to great lengths to explain that his androids or replicants were not cyborgs, because they were made with the specific purpose of imitating humans. His point was that although the border between a mechanized human and a humanized machine might be almost non-existent, its crossing carried an enormous symbolic meaning. For him, it was filled with fear; for Haraway, it could be filled with hope. We try to accommodate both views.

Molly in Neuromancer (1984)[5]

Molly is a character in *Neuromancer*, William Gibson's first novel, which gave birth to many ideas, including the Matrix (later explored and exploited by the Wachowski brothers), cyberspace and a long line of non-stereotypical female characters. Here is Molly introducing herself to the 'software cowboy', Case:

> She shook her head. He realized that the glasses were surgically inset, sealing her sockets. The silver lenses seemed to grow from smooth pale skin above her cheekbones, framed by dark hair cut in a rough shag. The fingers curled around the fletcher were slender, white, tipped with polished burgundy. The nails looked artificial. (...) 'Molly: Case, my name's Molly. I'm collecting you for the man I work for. Just wants to talk, is all. Nobody wants to hurt you.

673

> (...) 'Cept I do hurt people sometimes, Case. I guess it's just the way I am wired'. She wore tight black gloveleather jeans and a bulky black jacket cut from some matte fabric that seemed to absorb light. (...) She held out her hands, palms up, the white fingers slightly spread, and with a barely audible click, ten double-edged, four-centimeter scalpel blades slid from their housings beneath the burgundy nails. (Gibson, 1984: 36–37)

Molly can see in the dark, and can read the time from the readout chipped into her optic nerve. She uses her blades to hurt, but also to cut a bit of herring when hungry. She has microchannel amplifiers behind her lower front teeth.

Molly was the first female cyberpunk character,[6] and she touched both the heads and the hearts of many a female reader, the present authors included. Extremely clever, a perfect employee (most positive characters in Gibson have to work for money for lesser human beings), she nevertheless has her own judgment. She is a 'working girl' with 'professional pride'. She performs the most ambitious and dangerous tasks, of which Case (the main character, 'a software cowboy'), sitting at his console, learns vicariously, and sometimes painfully. A Turkish/Armenian man is suspicious of her ('In Turkey, women are still women ...' p. 111), but he fails and she puts him in his place. Although she earned her own place in a costly way and has a terrible secret and a tragic love story in her past, she is now above all the men who want to hurt or exploit her.

Molly dictates the conditions in her relationships with people and takes sex when she wants it; she is devoid of sentimentality but not of sentiments. She might be falling in love with Case, but Case may still be in love with Linda, the girl-next-door in white sneakers, although Linda is dead. So Molly leaves him with a note saying 'HEY ITS OKAY BUT ITS TAKING THE EDGE OFF MY GAME (...) ITS THE WAY IM WIRED I GUESS'.

In short, Molly seems to be a very good example of a Haraway cyborg. Indeed, the egalitarian toughness and rudeness of Molly, like that of other cyberpunks, made her politically attractive to the feminists (Gordon, 1991). Cyberpunks represent an extension of the radical thought of the 1980s, also in the sense of the distance they take from the nostalgic Utopias of feminist science fiction, like that of Marge Piercy. As a genre, 'cyberpunk is covert feminist science fiction' (Gordon, 1991: 196) and Molly is 'simply a human being in women's clothing' (p. 198) and her toughness 'represents no female principle, just a human coping mechanism' (p. 199).

Molly is an unusual type of employee, but her peculiarity is not connected to her gender or her cyborgness, but to the way in which Gibson continues to depict the economy in his not-so-fictitious world. It consists of a few transnationals; some anachronistic family clans; and crowds of highly talented individuals, some evil, others straight, like Case and Molly, streetwise, cynical towards their employers, but loyal to one another, and hopelessly addicted to their professions. Cyberpunk, Hollinger (1991: 204) said, is about the breakdown of the divide between the artificial and the natural, the human and the machine. At its best, as in *Neuromancer*, that

674

breakdown also includes a breakdown between the 'natural' opposition between men and women.

Rei Toei in Idoru (1996)

Gibson has populated his fictional worlds with many intriguing characters, one of them being Rei Toei (*Idoru*, *All Tomorrow's Parties)*. Rei Toei is not a cyborg, but neither is she a robot. She is a machine producing an effect of humanness: an idol-singer, 'a personality-construct, a congeries of software agents, the creation of information-designers, ... a 'synthespian'' (Gibson, 1996: 92). She is going to marry Rez, a human half-Irish singer, which worries both Rez's fans and Rez's managers. The latter employ Laney, a person who is able to do pattern recognition, to check out the situation. He expects an idoru to look like a synthesis of Japanese female media stars, but his expectations fail:

> Her black hair, rough-cut and shining, brushed pale bare shoulders as she turned her head. She had no eyebrows, and both her lids and lashes seemed to have been dusted with something white, leaving her dark pupils in stark contrast. (Gibson, 1996: 175)

But, if one happens to have infrared video unit glasses, as a blind man in her company had, one would see a big aluminum thermos bottle: idoru is a hologram produced by that thermos when plugged in. She is also, her constructor explains, a desiring machine, put together out of aggregates of subjective desire, an architecture of articulated longing. And her experience of the world, processed into her dreams, produces videos that attract the fans. The videos are becoming a central part of the popular culture, and 'popular culture... is the testbed of our futurity' (p. 92), says the constructor, or perhaps Gibson himself.

Rei Toei is not at all like Molly—she is a dream, everybody's dream, men and women alike. She is not an employee—she employs. Being a thing, she escapes being anybody's property. What characterizes her, apart from her beauty and sensitivity, is her forever-growing complexity. Thus, as we learn in *All Tomorrow's Parties* (1999),[7] which is a straight continuation of *Idoru,* she develops beyond Rez and his narcissistic ideas of a celebrity marriage.

In the second novel she who was before 'a Japanese girl' is one of the examples of 'hybrid vigor, but saying which races had been mixed was beyond him', thinks Rydell, the ex-cop, after having activated the 'thermos' (1999: 153). What one can see is a hologram; but she is real, she claims. And for all her beauty and glamour, she is acutely sensitive to the world's poverty, without making it a political manifesto. As we said, she is everybody's dream: 'she had been designed for (...) the world to fall in love with. As the amplified reflection of desire, she was a waking dream, a love object sprung from an approximation of the global mass consciousness' (p. 164).

675

What can be the fate of such a global love object? Gibson saved her from the strong plot of marrying the country singer and living with him ever after (even if the 'ever after' was meant as an ironic necromancy, but machine dreams are about immortality, after all). She must be universally accessible. The book ends when the global chain, Lucky Dragon, installs in its store the Lucky Dragon Nanofax, which can reproduce the matter at any branch of their chain throughout the world. While the manager is planning to reproduce a gold statue of the Lucky Dragon, Rei Toei sneaks in and reproduces herself—naked—to every Lucky Dragon in the world. In this way, she thwarts the plan of a global capitalist to take over the world through control of nanotechnology.

Readers (at least these readers) might sometimes feel that Gibson does not know what to do with his creation. As he says himself, she was 'an emergent system, a self continuously being iterated from experiential input' (1999: 163)—like all of us, actually. And this is exactly what is attractive about Rei Toei—that she is not readymade, finished and following a determined and recognizable path. She is not Molly's replacement, but a complement. Molly is like us, only better equipped; Rei Toei is the symbol of our desires, the cyborgs' dream.

Trinity in **Matrix**[8]

Many female viewers hailed the appearance of Trinity in Matrix with admiration. When she is removing the scorpion-bug from Neo's belly, and her colleague tells her 'You are losing it', she says 'I'm not', and we hear an expert confident in her skills. When Neo protests that he will go alone to free Morpheus, she says 'I am a high ranking officer on this ship'. Yet soon (or at least by the second movie, *Matrix Reloaded*) doubts began to creep in. How is it possible that Trinity, using the same fight techniques as Neo and Morpheus, needs to be constantly rescued when fighting alone? Then one remembers the early signs: Trinity bringing Neo his dinner on a tray (which the spectator takes at first as a joke, cued by another person in the movie who jests about it), and the helicopter mishap, when Neo for the first time calls her 'Trini'(soon further abbreviated to 'Trin') in *The Matrix*.

In *Matrix Reloaded*, she fares better in driving a bike (after a crash course, as with the helicopter), and she saves the plan; but Neo saves her life, which is only fair because she saved his in the first movie. However, Trinity saved Neo's life by the sheer power of her love, whereas he saves her by removing a bullet and giving her a heart massage, with his bare hands.

The third movie, *Matrix Revolutions*, begins hopefully again. Trinity wins Neo from Merovignan through deadly blackmail, and engages in some skillful driving, although driving in this part is a specialty of Niobe, the ship commander. But by the end there is no doubt left: Trinity dies the death of a proper woman, sacrificing herself for her man (who, as men tend to do, is on a mission to save the world), her (almost) last words being 'I've done

676

all I could do'. In this light, even the interpretation of the saving act in the first movie changes: rather than alluding to the resurrection of Christ by the trinity of God the Father, Son, and Holy Spirit (Bassham, 2002: 113), the resurrection of Neo can be seen as salvation 'by the love of the good woman', whereas the resurrection of Trinity was advanced surgery.

Nor is our reading idiosyncratic. As Korsmeyer put it,

> ... [Trinity's] actions embody the intimate side of trust. It is no accident that this role is given to a woman, for the tender aspect of touch is associated with both eroticism and maternal care, and since Trinity is the only female sexual presence in the script, these roles fall to her. (Evidently in their efforts to inspire doubt about the certainty of sense experience, the filmmakers forgot to doubt gender stereotypes). (2002: 52).

And finally the pitiless analysis by Freeland, with which we completely agree:

> ... after [the] opening gambits, Trinity assumes the role of a sidekick female. She has a few scenes of skill, but we never see this famous hacker to do anything meaningful at a computer board (she never examines the code of the Matrix, for example). She is there to be the love interest for Neo and to support his important enterprise of salvation. She provides stereotypical female nurturance and 'connectedness' for the inexpressive, intact Neo. (2002: 209–10)

It could be that critiques of that kind made the Wachowskis introduce into *Matrix Reloaded* and *Revolutions* the character of Niobe, who is better and braver than the boys—apart from being beautiful. But Trinity is not alone in the cast of stereotypical characters. We get brave old soldiers, and even braver young boys willing to die for their country, sexy Caribbeans, clever Indians, wise black American women, and older male councilors and architects. After all, popular culture plays on and with stereotypes, and the Wachowski brothers deliver a good entertainment, embellished with philosophical allusions. Why disappointment? Trinity is a cyborg, but not advanced enough to match Neo and become his (VR) equal; the cyberpunk breakdown between the artificial and the natural is not complete. Was it Haraway's' fault that we expected more?

Did the Cyborgs Do Their Work?

> Cyborg imagery can suggest a way out of the maze of dualisms in which we have explained our bodies and our tools to ourselves. This is a dream not of a common language, but of a powerful infidel heteroglossia. It is an imagination of a feminist speaking in tongues to strike fear into the circuits of the supersavers of the new right. It means both building and destroying machines, identities, categories, relationships, space stories. Though both are bound in the spiral dance, I would rather be a cyborg than a goddess. (Haraway, 1991: 181)

677

How Relevant is Stepford Wives *in 2006?*

It is possible to ask if there is any clear trend in the development of the science fiction characters in the 30 years covered in this text. We do not claim that there is one trend—rather, that there are parallel trends, or two that fight each other. The feminists use the term 'backlash', and, indeed, we know that it is quite possible that in the 21st century women can be imprisoned at home, their political and educational rights taken away. But changes do take place. In 1983, Cockburn and her collaborators interviewed an advertising worker who said 'It would be quite unthinkable to present a publicity (...) where the woman, if she was visible in the picture, was not the one who did the kitchen work' (Cockburn, 1996: 24). If this advertising man were not yet retired, he would not have said the same thing. We are all used to publicity showing women in front of computers (with eyeglasses signalling intellectual aptitude) and men in aprons in front of (induction) kitchens. Why, then, re-make *Stepford Wives* after 30 years?

'Stepfordwives' has become a label in the USA. Stepford is a marker for small, conservative suburbs that emerge around big cities. In the film, somebody says condescendingly: 'Something like that can only happen in Connecticut!'. The film was made, among other things, to show how things changed.

But there are rather unpleasant analogies between the world of today and the original *Stepford Wives*, and not only in the USA. According to Rappe and Strannegård (2004), more and more people in Sweden want to have a clean house or an even 'cleaner house', and fewer and fewer will do the cleaning themselves. Electrolux's robotic vacuum cleaner still does not do a very good of cleaning the corners. The solution is, as Ehrenreich and Hochschild (2002) pointed out, the immigrant women. They clean and iron, take care of children and the old, and provide sexual services. Like robots, they are not on the same emotional and intellectual level as their masters/employers (one can't talk with them), and they raise the same anxieties: what happens if they hurt themselves/break? Will it be possible to get a new model quickly? What if they stage a revolution? If one could only impose Asimov's 'robotics' 3 laws' on them!

Contrasting Stepford wives with Molly reveals another ambiguity of cyborgization: while it frees Molly from the ties of formal work organizations, it prevents Stepford wives from active participation in public workplaces, tying them to homes. A freelance and a housewife are both independent workers, but with a huge difference in their statuses.

Rei Toei or Trinity?

Trinity is a cyborg, but she is also a goddess—a goddess in a hierarchically ordered world of gods, just like Olympus or Valhalla. The female goddesses are expected to obey and submit when the male gods of first rank order them to do so. In that sense, Trinity is nothing new, and her technological additions are on a par with magic qualities of traditional goddesses—nothing for us, as it were.

Idoru is more than a cyborg, but not at all a goddess; she is our collective projection. But is she? Do William Gibson's books classify as 'popular culture', or are they merely food for intellectuals? The appeal of Trinity is much wider and, according to the circuit model of culture (Johnson, 1986–87), she is a collective projection, too, and projected by a bigger collective. So perhaps, in the real world, Lucky Dragon managed to fax its golden statuette, after all. Molly and Rei Toei spell hope; Trinity takes it away. But as long as they all exist, the conversation can go on—the heteroglossia continues. Wood's (1998) appeal for the increase in 'cyborg consciousness' might be translated as a consciousness that oscillates between feeling like Molly, Idoru and Trinity in a workplace—but refusing to be cast once and for all.

Twice in our analysis we noted that the film version simplifies the complex message of the novel. This was not to say that the directors were not close enough to the writer's intentions: Gibson himself wrote the screenplay for *Johny Mnemonic*. We wanted to attract attention to the difference between various media on the dimension of radicalism and conservatism: the novel is the most radical, the TV most conservative, with cinema in between (Yehya, 2001/2004). The three media do not occupy the same places on the dimension of popularity. The filmatization simplifies the message of a novel, but also makes it popular.

There is another difference between Gibson's books and the *Matrix* films: while Gibson is engrossed in the issues of global economy, enterprises and professions, hired work and freelance, *Matrix* is about love and war, played against the background of 'militarism and patriarchal capitalism', to quote Haraway again. Thus while Gibson analyses the actual world of organized work and speculates about its future, *Matrix* offers an escape from it.

Cyborg as a Metaphor

Haraway uses the notion of cyborg as a metaphor for the discursive codes that program our biological existence (Wilson, 2002: 148). But as usually happens with metaphors, they drag in connotations that were not intended in the metaphorical use. Maybe cyborg is a perfect metaphor, not for the liberation from such discursive codes, but for their hybrid character. The cyborg combines the traditional stereotypes with the visions of the new world, and the fear of women with the fear of robots. Like avatars, they might represent an ideal employee (Gustavsson and Czarniawska, 2004), in that they are capable of inhuman efforts and concentration. But unlike avatars, they may stage a revolt and do unpredictable things, as the Idoru did. Parker's (1998) analysis of cyborgs in science fiction, albeit conducted with a different focus, came to similar results: much as cyborgs stand for new worlds and new organizations, in the (happy) endings they become more human; in the (unhappy) endings they revert to their mechanic characters. In this way, the modernist purification goes on. The reality of workplaces tolerates hybridity, the re-presentation of it less so. Thus the

679

combination of organic and mechanic parts does not frighten 21st century people anymore; but gender stereotypes prove to be more resilient that Frankenstein's fears. And what about the professional cyborgs of today—the people like us who need computers, Internet access, and mobile phones in order to fit into their workplaces? In the best versions of cyberpunk, the opposition between natural and artificial is broken down and gender stereotypes concern clothes, not competences. Will the increased use of information technology at workplaces open the corporate doors to cyberpunks, or will it (again) help to preserve and strengthen traditional ideas about what men and women are best equipped to do?

One interesting feature unites all the novels and films mentioned above, a feature that does not change no matter how outlandish the setup: the massive presence of large corporations. Perhaps the novelists and the directors tell us, intentionally or not, that until the institutional core of our world is unchanged, it is unreasonable to expect that a sheer increase of technical artifacts will change reality.

Notes

1 This section is based on Czarniawska and Gustavsson, 2005.
2 'Although the term today conjures up images of clanking metal contraptions, Capek's Robots (always capitalized) are more accurately the product of what we would now call genetic engineering'. (Site by Dennis G. Jerz, accessed 18 February 2005).
3 R.U.R._ supplement, p.11; http://www.u.arizona.edu/~gmcmilla/talk.html, 050218.
4 On 1 September 1935, the newspaper *Pravda*, organ of the Communist party in the USSR, reported that a Donbas miner named Stakhanov had extracted 102 tons of coal in a six-hour shift, exceeding the norm more than fivefold. Thus began the Stakhanovite 'movement'—a campaign urging workers to emulate this and other alleged feats of super-productivity. Yehya (2001/2004) claims that a cyborg—indefatigable and politically incorruptible—was always the ideal of a Soviet worker.
5 In the story, the twin Artificial Intelligences, Wintermute and Neuromancer, fight for autonomy, each with a different program for its future independence. They compete in enrolling various characters, among them the software cowboy who must exchange his crucial body parts that have been poisoned and a cyborg woman who needs to earn her living.
6 Molly appeared first in a short story *Johnny Mnemonic*, but Gibson, who wrote the script for the movie under the same title, changed her name in the film to Jane, signalling a difference in the characters.
7 The plot evolves around Laney and his talent for pattern recognition that is caused by experiments conducted on him when in an orphanage. It turns out that another person submitted himself to the same treatment willingly, to be able to control the world (via mass media and chain stores), and he becomes Laney's main opponent, while Idoru becomes Laney's ally. Two minor characters familiar from earlier books, Rydell (the cop) and Chevette (the bike messenger, raised on the Bridge) assist the developments (on the side of the good guys), and fall in love, out of it and in again. A Max-von-Sydow-type is a perfect killer, driven by

680

the pain of the loss of his first love, and under the influence of Idoru he switches from the bad to the good side, etc.

8 The machines conquered the world and enslaved the humans, who live their lives in the illusion of a free world. Some machines, however, were of the opinion that a peaceful cooperation of humans and machines was a better idea, and liberated some of the humans, who started to organize resistance. In what seems like a perpetual war between the machines and free people, comes a prophecy that The One will come and liberate them all.

References

Bassham, Gregory (2002) 'The Religion of *The Matrix* and the Problems of Pluralism', in W. Irwin (ed.) The Matrix *and the Philosophy. Welcome to the Desert of the Real*, pp. 111–25. Peru, IL: Carus.

Berner, Boel (1996*) Sakernas tillstånd. Kön, klass, teknisk expertis.* Stockholm: Carlssons.

Cockburn, Cynthia (1996) 'Hushållsteknik: Askungen och ingenjörerna', in E. Sundin and B. Berner (eds) *Från symaskin till cyborg: Genus, teknik och social förändring*, pp. 19–41. Stockholm: Nerenius & Santérus.

Coopert, Robert and Law, John (1995) 'Organization: Distal and Proximal Views', in S. Bacharach, P. Gagliardi and B. Mundell (eds) *Studies of Organizations in the European Tradition*, pp. 237–74. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.

Czarniawska, Barbara and Gustavsson, Eva (2005) 'Kvinnor som teknik eller *Stepford wives* då och nu', in U. Mellström (ed.) *Kunskapens vägar och forskningens praktik*, pp. 55–70. Lund: Arkiv förlag.

Czarniawska, Barbara, and Rhodes, Carl (2006) 'Strong Plots: Popular Culture in Management Practice and Theory', in P. Gagliardi and B. Czarniawska (eds), *Management Education and Humanities,* pp.195–218. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.

Dick, Philip K. (1995) *Man, Android and Machine. The Shifting Realities of Philip K. Dick. Selected Literary and Philosophical Writings* (L. Sutin ed.). New York, NY: Pantheon.

Ehrenreich, Barbara and Hochschild, Arlie Russell, eds (2002) *The Global Woman.* London: Granta.

Freeland, Cynthia (2002) 'Penetrating Keanu: New Holes, But the Same Old Shit, in W. Irwin (ed.) *The Matrix and the Philosophy. Welcome to the Desert of the Real,* pp. 205–15. Peru, IL: Carus.

Gibson, William (1984) *Neuromancer*. London: Victor Gollancz.

Gibson, William (1996) *Idoru*. London: Viking.

Gibson, William (1999) *All Tomorrow's Parties*. New York, NY: G. P. Putnam's Sons.

Gordon, Joan (1991) 'Yin and Yang Duke It Out', in L. McCaffery (ed.) *Storming the Reality Studio. A Casebook of Cyberpunk and Postmodern Fiction,* pp. 196–202, Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Gustavsson, Eva and Czarniawska, Barbara (2004) 'Web Woman: The On-line Construction of Corporate and Gender Images', *Organization* 11(5): 651–70.

Haraway, Donna (1991) *Simians, Cyborgs and Women: The Reinvention of Nature.* London: Free Association Books.

Hollinger, Veronica (1991) 'Cybernetic Deconstructions: Cyberpunk and Postmodernism', in L. McCaffery (ed.) *Storming the Reality Studio. A Casebook of Cyberpunk and Postmodern Fiction,* pp. 203–18. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

681

Johnson, Richard (1986–87) 'What Is Cultural Studies Anyway?' *Social Text* 16: 38–80.

Korsmeyer, Caroline (2002) 'Seeing, Believing, Touching, Truth', in W. Irwin (ed.) *The Matrix and the Philosophy. Welcome to the Desert of the Real,* pp. 41–52. Peru, IL: Carus.

Krieg, Peter (1988) *Machine Dreams*. Berlin: Barefoot Production.

Levin, Ira (1972/2002) *The Stepford Wives*. New York, NY: HarperCollins.

Modleski, Tania (1991) *Feminism Without Women. Culture and Criticism in a 'Postfeminist' Age*. New York, NY: Routledge.

Parker, Martin (1998) 'Judgment Day: Cyborganization, Humanism and Postmodern Ethics', *Organization* 5(4): 503–18.

Parker, Martin and Cooper, Robert (1998) 'Cyborganization: Cinema as Nervous System', in J. Hassard and R. Holliday (eds) *Organization/Representation*, pp. 201–28. London: Sage.

Parker, Martin, Higgins, Matthew, Lightfoot, Geoff and Smith, Warren, eds (2007) *Science Fiction and Organizations*. London: Routledge.

Rappe, Tinni Ernsjöö and Strannegård, Lars (2004) *Rent hus. Slaget om den svenska dammråttan.* Stockholm: Norstedts.

Stepford Wives (1975) Palomar Pictures and Fadsin Cinema Associates, screenplay: William Goldman, director: Bryan Forbes.

Stepford Wives (2004) DreamWorks L.L.C. and Paramount Pictures Corporation, screenplay: Paul Rudnick, director: Frank Oz.

The Matrix (1999) Silver Pictures Production, screenwriters and directors: Larry and Andy Wachowski.

The Matrix Reloaded (2003) Silver Pictures Production, screenwriters and directors: Larry and Andy Wachowski.

The Matrix Revolutions (2003) Silver Pictures Production, screenwriters and directors: Larry and Andy Wachowski.

Tirado, Francisco Javier; Alcaraz, José Manuel and Doménech, Miquel (1999) 'A Change of Episteme for Organizations: A Lesson from *Solaris', Organization* 6(4): 673–90.

Wajcman, Judy (2004) *TechnoFeminism*. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Wilson, Robert Rawdon (2002) *The Hydra's Tale. Imagining Disgust*. Edmonton, AB: University of Alberta.

Wood, Martin (1998) 'Agency and Organizations: Toward a Cyborg-consciousness', *Human Relations* 51(10): 1209–26.

Yehya, Naief (2001/2004) *Homo Cyborg. Il corpo postumano tra realtà e fantascienza.* Milan: Elèuthera.

Barbara Czarniawska holds a Chair in Management Studies at GRI, School of Business, Economics and Law at University of Gothenburg, Sweden. Her research takes a constructionist perspective on organizing, most recently exploring the connections between popular culture and practice of management. She is interested in methodology, especially in field studies and in the application of narratology to organization studies. Recent books in English include *A Tale of Three Cities* (Oxford University Press, 2002), *Narratives in Social Science Research*, (Sage, 2004), *Actor-Network Theory and Organizing* (edited with Tor Hernes, Liber, 2005), *Global Ideas* (edited with Guje Sevón, Liber, 2005*), Management Education and Humanities* (edited with Pasquale Gagliardi, Edward Elgar, 2006) and *Shadowing*

and Other Techniques of Doing Fieldwork in Modern Societies, (Liber, 2007). **Address:** Gothenburg Research Institute, University of Gothenburg, Box 600, SE 405 30 Göteborg, Sweden. [email: barbara.czarniawska@gri.gu.se]

Eva Gustavsson (PhD) is a researcher at Gothenburg Research Institute, School of Business, Economics and Law, University of Gothenburg. Her research interests concern the organization of services in different settings, e.g. city government, tourism and hospitality industries. She has published on the development of on-line services and the replacement of service people with avatars from a gender perspective. Her current research includes city management and its strategies against littering, and the coordination of e-services in the public sector. She also takes an interest in popular culture, especially the representation of gender and professions in video games. **Address:** Gothenburg Research Institute, University of Gothenburg, Box 600, SE 405 30 Göteborg, Sweden. [email: eva.gustavsson@gri.gu.se]

683